## **REMARKS**

The specification has been amended to correct minor typographical errors. Claims 1, 2, 3 and 8 have been amended to employ more idiomatic English, and claim 8 has been rewritten in independent form as new claim 16. Claims 17-22 have been added to further scope claim 8.

The Examiner's rejection of claims 1-5 and 9-13 under 35 USC §102 as anticipated by Hung et al., U.S. Patent 6,530,010, is in error. Claims 1 and 9 require the selection of a discrete transform or a discrete cosine transform, and providing coefficients based upon said selection. Nowhere does Hung et al. teach this feature. While it is true that Hung et al. teaches some form of execution control, nowhere does Hung et al. teach that this control chooses a desired transform and then provides a plurality of coefficients depending upon said transform as required by independent claims 1 and 9. Accordingly, Hung et al. cannot anticipate claims 1, 9 nor any claims dependent thereon.

Turning to the rejection of claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 under 35 USC §103 as obvious over Hung et al. in view of "JPEG 2000", again the Examiner's rejection is in error. Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 depend either directly or indirectly from claims 1 and 9. The deficiencies of Hung et al. vis-à-vis claims 1 and 9 are noted above. It is not seen that "JPEG 2000" supplies the missing teachings to Hung et al. to achieve or render obvious claim 1 or claim 9, or any of the claims that dependent thereon. Even assuming arguendo "JPEG 2000" is as the Examiner suggest, no combination of Hung et al. and "JPEG 2000" reasonably could be said to achieve or render obvious claim 1 or claim 9. Accordingly, claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 which depend directly or indirectly from claims 1 and 9, as the case may be, also are allowable over the art for the reasons discussed above, as well as for their own limitations.

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C. 3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140 TUCSON, AZ 85718 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101
TEL. 603.668.1400
FAX. 603.668.8567

Serial No. 10/671,907 Docket No. NEC 03USFP874 Amendment A

The allowability of claim 8 is noted, with thanks. New claim 16 essentially comprises claim 8 rewritten in independent form. New claims 17-22 are based on original claims 1-7 and have been added to further scope new claim 16.

Form PTO-2038 authorizing credit card payment in the amount of \$50.00 to cover the cost of the one additional claim accompanies this Amendment

Having dealt with all the objections raised by the Examiner, the Application is believed to be in order for allowance. Early and favorable action is respectfully requested.

In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them (or credit any overpayment) to our Deposit Account Number 08-1391.

Respectfully submitted,

Norman P. Soloway Attorney for Applicant

By Sharon McKniff

Reg. No. 24,315

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

May 14, 2007

\_\_\_\_\_, at Tucson, Arizona.

NPS:ALK:ps/sb

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C. 3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140 TUCSON, AZ 85718 TEL. 520.882.7623 FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101
TEL. 603.668.1400
FAX. 603.668.8567